PMRA Submission Number { } EPA MRID Nur	mber 47372403

EPA Guideline OPPTS 850.5400 (123-2)

**Test material:** AE C656948 (Fluopyram) Technical **Purity:** 94.7%

Common name Fluopyram

Chemical name: IUPAC N-[2-[3-Chloro-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine-2-yl]ethyl]-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide

CAS name N-[2-[3-Chloro-5-(trifluoromethyl)-2-pyridinyl]ethyl]-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide

CAS No. 658066-35-4

Synonyms

**Reference/Submission No.:** {......}

**EPA PC Code** 080302

<u>CITATION</u>: Banman, C.S. and C.V. Lam. 2007. Toxicity of AE C656948 Technical to the Green Alga, *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. Unpublished study performed by Bayer CropScience, Research and Development Department, Stilwell, Kansas and sponsored by Bayer CropScience, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. Laboratory Project ID: EBGMP048. Study completed March 30, 2007.

**<u>DISCLAIMER</u>**: This document may have been revised by the USEPA or other review agency subsequent to production by Cambridge Environmental, Inc. personnel.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

In a 96-hour acute toxicity study, cultures of the freshwater green algae *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* were exposed to AE C656948 (Fluopyram) Technical at nominal concentrations of 0 (negative and solvent controls), 0.102, 0.256, 0.64, 1.6, 4.0, and 10.0 mg a.i./L under static conditions. Mean-measured concentrations were <0.005 (<LOQ; controls), 0.093, 0.241, 0.584, 1.46, 3.78, and 9.53 mg a.i./L.

The percent inhibition in cell density, in the treated algal culture as compared to the negative control, ranged from 10 to 99%. The % inhibition in biomass, in the treated algal culture as compared to the negative control, ranged from 9 to 99%. The % inhibition in growth rate, in the treated algal culture as compared to the negative control, ranged from 2 to 95%.

The most sensitive endpoint was biomass, with NOAEC and EC50 values of 1.46 and 4.3 mg a.i./L, respectively.

Cell abnormalities were not reported.

This toxicity study is classified as scientifically sound or and {does or does not} satisfy the guideline requirement for a Tier II nonvascular plant toxicity study with the freshwater green algae, *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*.

#### **Results Synopsis**

Test Organism: Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata

Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static

Cell density

EC<sub>05</sub>: 2.8 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 1.9 to 4.1 mg a.i./L EC<sub>50</sub>: 4.6 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 3.7 to 5.8 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 1.46 mg a.i./L Probit Slope:  $7.63 \pm 1.80$ 

### Biomass (Area under the growth curve)

 $EC_{05}$ : 2.6 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 2.0 to 3.2 mg a.i./L  $EC_{50}$ : 4.3 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 3.8 to 4.9 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 1.46 mg a.i./LProbit Slope:  $7.17 \pm 1.12$ 

**Growth rate** 

 $EC_{05}$ : 3.7 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 3.1 to 4.3 mg a.i./L  $EC_{50}$ : 6.0 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 5.5 to 6.5 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 1.46 mg a.i./L Probit Slope:  $7.87 \pm 0.653$ 

Endpoint(s) Effected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rate

### I. REPORTED MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED: This study was conducted following EPA FIFRA Guideline 123-2, OPPTS

Guideline 850.5400 (2006 draft), and OECD Guideline 201 (2006).

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

**COMPLIANCE:** Signed and dated No Data Confidentiality, GLP, and Quality Assurance

statements were provided. Certificates of Analysis and Authenticity were also provided. This study was conducted in compliance with U.S. EPA

FIFRA GLP standards (40 CFR Part 160).

#### A. REPORTED MATERIALS:

**1. Test material** AE C656948 (Fluopyram) Technical

**Description:** Beige powder

**Lot No./Batch No.:** 08528/0002 (Batch no.)

**Purity:** 94.7%

Stability of compound

**under test conditions:** Time 0 measured concentrations yielded recoveries of 93 to 98% of the

nominal test concentrations, and day 4 measured concentrations yielded recoveries of 88 to 95% of nominal, indicating that fluopyram technical was

stable under the test conditions.

Storage conditions of

**test chemicals:** Test chemicals were stored at ambient temperature.

Physicochemical properties of AE C656948 (Fluopyram) Technical.

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility	10 mg/L	Under algae testing conditions.
Vapor pressure	Not reported.	
UV absorption	Not reported.	
рКа	Not reported.	
Kow	Not reported.	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

### 2. Test organism:

Name: Green algae, Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata

**Strain:** Not reported

**Source:** In-house cultures originally obtained from University of Texas, Austin,

Texas, USA

**Age of inoculum**: 3 days

**Method of cultivation:** Grown under test conditions (AAP medium) in an environmental chamber.

### **B. REPORTED STUDY DESIGN:**

### 1. Experimental Conditions

a. Range-finding study: A range-finding study was not conducted.

b. Definitive Study

**Table 1: Experimental Parameters** 

Parameter	Details	
Acclimation period:	Continuous.	
Culturing media and conditions: (same as test or not)	AAP medium Same as test.	
Health: (any mortality observed)	Not reported.	
Test system Static/static renewal	Static.	
Renewal rate for static renewal	N/A	
Incubation facility	Test vessels were placed on an orbital shaker table in a temperature-controlled environmental chamber.	
Duration of the test	96 hours.	
Test vessel Material: (glass/stainless steel)	Glass	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

Parameter	Details		
Size: Fill volume:	250 mL 100 mL		
Details of growth medium name pH at test initiation: pH at test termination: Chelator used: Carbon source: Salinity (for marine algae):	7.3-7.4 7.6-10.0 Yes. NaHCO <sub>3</sub> N/A		
If non-standard nutrient medium was used, detailed composition provided (Yes/No)	Yes		
Dilution water source/type: pH: salinity (for marine algae): water pretreatment (if any): Total Organic Carbon: particulate matter: metals: pesticides: chlorine:	Distilled water. 7.5 ± 0.1 N/A Filter sterilized. Not reported.		
Indicate how the test material is added to the medium (added directly or used stock solution)	A stock solution was prepared with test material and the solvent, which was then used to prepare the highest test solution concentration. Stock solution and algal media were prepared at the highest level and serially diluted to obtain the lower test concentrations.		
Aeration or agitation	Agitation at 100 rpm		

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

<b>.</b>	5.4		
Parameter	Details		
Initial cells density	1.0 x 10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL		
Number of continues			
Number of replicates Control:	3		
Solvent control:	3		
Treatments:	3		
Test concentrations			
Nominal:	0 (Negative and solvent controls), 0.102, 0.256, 0.64, 1.6, 4.0, and 10.0 mg a.i./L		
Measured:	<0.005 ( <loq; 0.093,<br="" controls),="">0.241, 0.584, 1.46, 3.78, and 9.53 mg a.i./L</loq;>		
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	Dimethylformamide (0.10 mL/L)		
Method and interval of analytical verification	Samples collected at 0 and 96 hours were analyzed using HPLC with UV (220 nm) detection.		

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

Parameter	Details	
Test conditions Temperature:	23.4-24.3°C	
Photoperiod: Light intensity and quality:	Continuous Ca. 4300 lux (400 footcandles) Cool-white fluorescent lighting	
Reference chemical (if used) name: concentrations:	N/A	
Other parameters, if any	None.	

### 2. Observations:

**Table 2: Observation parameters** 

Parameters	Details
Parameters measured including the growth inhibition/other toxicity symptoms	Cell density, biomass (area under the growth curve), and growth rate
Measurement technique for cell density and other end points	Cell counts were conducted daily on all replicate vessels of each test concentration and the controls using a Z1 Beckman Coulter particle counter.  Growth rate and biomass were
	determined using calculations that took into account time cell density from study initiation to termination.
Observation intervals	Every 24 hours.
Other observations, if any	None.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

Parameters	Details
Indicate whether there was an exponential growth in the control	Yes. After 96 hours, the mean cell density was <i>ca</i> . 198.2x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL in the negative control.
Were raw data included?	Yes.

### **II. REPORTED RESULTS:**

#### A. REPORTED MORTALITY:

After 96 hours of exposure, cell density averaged 198.2 and 168.5 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/mL in the negative and solvent controls, respectively, yielding inhibitions of 24.3, 13.8, 12.5, 2.9, 32.4, and 99.3% when compared to the pooled control at the mean-measured 0.093, 0.241, 0.584, 1.46, 3.78, and 9.53 mg a.i./L treatment levels, respectively.

Biomass (area under the growth curve) averaged 5987 and 5542cells · days/mL in the negative and solvent controls, respectively, yielding inhibitions of 18.3, 8.0, 15.8, 5.2, 39.3, and 99.3% when compared to the pooled control.

Growth rate averaged 0.055 and 0.053 days<sup>-1</sup> in the negative and solvent controls, yielding inhibitions of 5.0, 2.1, 1.7, 0.7, 7.3, and 94.5% when compared to the pooled control.

Observations at all test concentrations were normal.

#### **B. REPORTED SUBLETHAL TOXICITY ENDPOINTS:**

The study authors analyzed 96-hour cell density, and 72-hour and 96-hour biomass (area under the growth curve), and growth rate. The most sensitive endpoint for the 96-hour time period was biomass, with reported NOAEC and  $EC_{50}$  values of 1.46 and 4.07 (3.83-4.31) mg a.i./L, respectively. The most sensitive endpoint for the 72-hour time period was also biomass, with reported NOAEC and  $EC_{50}$  values of 1.46 and 3.97 mg a.i./L, respectively.

**C. REPORTED STATISTICS:** The study authors did not compare the negative control to the solvent control. The data were first tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilks' Test, and for homogeneity of variance using Levene's Test. If the data passed both tests, then data were analyzed using ANOVA and Dunnett's test. If the data did not demonstrate normality and homogeneity, an analysis of variance and a one-tailed Dunnett's test were used. The EC<sub>50</sub> values and their confidence limits were determined by the logistic model or the Bruce/Versteeg cumulative normal model using nonlinear regression analysis. Statistics were conducted with SAS.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

Table 3: Effect of AE C656948 (Fluopyram) Technical on algal growth (Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata)

Mean-measured and	Initial cell	Cell density (x 10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL) at				
(Nominal) Concentrations	Density (x 10 <sup>4</sup>	24 hours	48 hours	72 hours	96 h	ours
(mg a.i./L)	cells/mL)				cell count	% inhibition*
Negative control	1.0	4.15	31.52	118.18	198.24	N/A
Solvent control	1.0	4.12	30.50	115.56	168.52	15
0.093 (0.102)	1.0	4.09	30.21	96.10	138.76	30
0.241 (0.256)	1.0	3.96	31.22	110.22	157.99	20
0.584 (0.64)	1.0	3.93	28.09	93.50	160.51	19
1.46 (1.6)	1.0	3.91	28.46	109.88	177.98	10
3.78 (4.0)	1.0	3.55	16.46	67.30	123.91	37
9.53 (10.0)	1.0	1.26	1.26	1.75	1.33	99
Reference chemical (if used)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Percent inhibition was calculated by the reviewer in comparison to the negative control.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

Table 4: Effect of AE C656948 (Fluopyram) Technical on algal growth (Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata)

Mean-measured and (Nominal) Concentrations	Initial Cell Density (x10 <sup>4</sup>	Mean Growth Rate (days <sup>-1</sup> )  0-96 Hours  Percent Inhibition*		Mean Biomass (Area Under the Growth Curve) (cells * days/mL)	
(mg a.i./L)	cells/mL)			0-96 hours	Percent Inhibition*
Negative Control	1.0	0.055	N/A	5987.2	N/A
Solvent control	1.0	0.053	3	5542.7	7
0.093 (0.102)	1.0	0.051	7	4710.8	21
0.241 (0.256)	1.0	0.053	3	5301.4	11
0.584 (0.64)	1.0	0.053	3	4854.5	19
1.46 (1.6)	1.0	0.053	3	5465.6	9
3.78 (4.0)	1.0	0.050	9	3498.4	42
9.53 (10.0)	1.0	0.003	94	37.48	99

<sup>\*</sup>Percent inhibition was calculated by the reviewer in comparison to the negative control.

Table 5: Statistical endpoint values\*

Statistical Endpoint	96-hour Cell Density	96-hour Growth rate	96-hour Biomass (Area under the growth curve)
NOAEC or EC <sub>05</sub> (mg a.i./L)	3.78	3.78	1.46
LOAEC	9.53	9.53	3.78
IC <sub>50</sub> or EC <sub>50</sub> (mg a.i./L) (95% C.I.)	4.26 (3.62-4.90)	9.5 (unreliable)	4.07 (3.83-4.31)
Reference chemical, if used NOAEC IC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub>	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>reported by the study authors, relative to the pooled control

Table 6: Statistical endpoint values\*

Statistical Endpoint	72-hour	72-hour	72-hour
	7 2-110u1	/ 2-110u1	/ 2-110u1

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

	Cell Density	Growth rate	Biomass (Area under the growth curve)
NOAEC or EC <sub>05</sub> (mg a.i./L)	N/A	1.46	1.46
LOAEC	N/A	3.78	3.78
EC <sub>50</sub> (mg a.i./L)	N/A	8.9	3.97
IC <sub>50</sub> or EC <sub>50</sub> (mg a.i./L) (95% C.I.)	N/A	8.9 (unreliable)	3.97 (3.92-4.02)
Reference chemical, if used NOAEC IC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub>	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>\*</sup> reported by the study authors, relative to the pooled control

### III. REVIEWER'S EVALUATION

### A. DEVIATIONS FROM GUIDELINES:

- 1. The physicochemical properties of the test material were not reported.
- 2. Pretest health of the test species was not reported.
- 3. The results of a periodic screening analysis of the dilution water and/or nutrient medium were not reported.
- 4. OPPTS guidelines require that the pH for this species stay within a range of  $7.5 \pm 0.1$ . The pH in this study was well above (range, 7.6-10.0) the recommended range at study termination.
- 5. The algae were only acclimated for 3 days; OPPTS guidelines recommend a 2-week acclimation period for algal cultures.

### **B. OTHER STUDY DEFICIENCIES:**

None noted

### C. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: Prior to determining the NOAEC values for cell density, area under the growth curve, and growth rate, the reviewer compared the negative and solvent control data for each endpoint using a Student's t-test, which indicated no significant differences between the negative and solvent controls. The reviewer tested the normality using the Chi-square and Shapiro Wilks tests and homogeneity of variance using the Hartley and Bartlett's test. If the data met the assumptions of ANOVA, the NOAEC values were determined using the parametric Williams' and Dunnett's tests. If the data did not meet the assumptions of ANOVA, the NOAEC values were determined using the non-parametric Steele's or Kruskal-Wallis test and visual interpretation of the data (cell density and biomass). The ECx values and probit slopes were determined using the probit analysis. All analyses were conducted using the mean-measured concentrations and Nuthatch statistical software.

Values input for cell density were the abbreviated values provided by the authors in the data tables, and are

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

mean to represent the value x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/mL. Values input for growth rate were multiplied by 10,000 to eliminate means with a zero value.

### Cell density

EC<sub>05</sub>: 2.8 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 1.9 to 4.1 mg a.i./L EC<sub>50</sub>: 4.6 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 3.7 to 5.8 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 1.46 mg a.i./LProbit Slope:  $7.63 \pm 1.80$ 

### Biomass (Area under the growth curve)

EC<sub>05</sub>: 2.6 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 2.0 to 3.2 mg a.i./L EC<sub>50</sub>: 4.3 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 3.8 to 4.9 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 1.46 mg a.i./L Probit Slope:  $7.17 \pm 1.12$ 

#### **Growth rate**

EC<sub>05</sub>: 3.7 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 3.1 to 4.3 mg a.i./L EC<sub>50</sub>: 6.0 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 5.5 to 6.5 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 1.46 mg a.i./L Probit Slope:  $7.87 \pm 0.653$ 

#### D. ADDITIONAL REVIEWER COMMENTS:

The study authors compared treatment levels with the pooled control, while the reviewer compared treatment response to the negative control; therefore, the reviewer's results are presented in the Conclusions and Executive Summary sections of this DER. Despite a different baseline comparison, the reviewer's conclusion that biomass was the most sensitive endpoint agreed with the study authors'.

The study authors conducted solubility trials to evaluate the maximum water solubility of fluopyram in the AAP medium. The authors used data from the study to determine the maximum test concentration for the definitive study.

The in-life portion of the study was conducted between August 14 and 18, 2006.

The NOAEC had to be visually determined for cell density and biomass due to the lack of sensitivity of the Kruskal-Wallis test. This statistical test did not detect (what the reviewer considers to be) the biologically significant reduction in these endpoints at the two highest treatment levels.

**E. CONCLUSIONS:** This study is/is not scientifically sound and is thus acceptable or unacceptable. The most sensitive endpoint was biomass, with NOAEC and EC<sub>50</sub> values of 1.46 and 4.3 mg a.i./L, respectively.

Test Organism: Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata

Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static

#### Cell density

EC<sub>05</sub>: 2.8 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 1.9 to 4.1 mg a.i./L EC<sub>50</sub>: 4.6 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 3.7 to 5.8 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 1.46 mg a.i./LProbit Slope:  $7.63 \pm 1.80$ 

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

### Biomass (Area under the growth curve)

 $EC_{05}$ : 2.6 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 2.0 to 3.2 mg a.i./L  $EC_{50}$ : 4.3 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 3.8 to 4.9 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 1.46 mg a.i./LProbit Slope:  $7.17 \pm 1.12$ 

#### **Growth rate**

EC<sub>05</sub>: 3.7 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 3.1 to 4.3 mg a.i./L EC<sub>50</sub>: 6.0 mg a.i./L 95% C.I.: 5.5 to 6.5 mg a.i./L

NOAEC: 1.46 mg a.i./LProbit Slope:  $7.87 \pm 0.653$ 

Endpoint(s) Effected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rate

### **IV. REFERENCES:**

- 1. American Public Health Association (APHA). 1989. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 17<sup>th</sup> edition. Washington, D.C.
- 2. ASTM. 2004. Standard Guide for Conducting Static 96-hour Acute Toxicity Tests with Microalgae. Standard E1218. West Conshohocken, PA.
- 3. Boutin, C., Freemark, K.E., and Keddy, C.J. 1993. Proposed Guidelines for Registration of Chemical Pesticides: Nontarget Plant Testing and Evaluation. Technical Report Series no. 145. Canadian Wildlife Service (Headquarters), Environment Canada, Ottawa.
- 4. Bruce, R.D. and D.J. Versteeg. 1992. "A Statistical Procedure for Modeling Continuous Data". Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Volume 11, pgs 1485-1494.
- 5. Microsoft Excel 2000 SR-2. 2000. Microsoft Corporation.
- 6. OECD Test Guideline 201. Alga, Growth Inhibition Test. March 2006.
- 7. SAS Institute. 1999-2001. PC-SAS version 8.2 (or greater). Cary, NC.
- 8. Stein, J.R. (ed.). 1973. Handbook of Phycological Methods: Culture Methods and Growth Measurements. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, England.
- 9. USEPA. 1982. Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision J Hazard Evaluation: Nontarget Plants. EPA-540/9-82-020. Office of Pesticide Programs. Washington, D.C. 55 pp.
- 10. USEPA. 1986. Standard Evaluation Procedure, Non-Target Plants: Growth and Reproduction of Aquatic Plants Tiers 1 and 2. EPA-540/9-86-134. Office of Pesticides Programs. Washington, D.C.
- 11. USEPA. 1989. Pesticide Programs; Good Laboratory Practice Standards; Final Rule (40 CFR, Part 160). Federal Register, Vol. 54, No. 158: 34067-34074.
- 12. USEPA. 1994a. Pesticide Reregistration Rejection Rate Analysis. Ecological Effects. EPA 738-R-94-035: p. 161.
- 13. USEPA. 1994b. Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms. EPA 600/4-91/002. Office of Research and Development. Cincinnati, OH.
- 14. USEPA. 1996. OPPTS 850.5400 draft: Algal Toxicity, Tiers I and II.
- 15. USEPA. 2006. OPPTS 850.5400 draft: Algal Toxicity.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

### APPENDIX I. OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Cell Density (mg/L) File: 2403c Transform: NO TRANSFORM t-test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho:GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN GRP1 (SOLVENT CRTL) MEAN = 198.2367 CALCULATED t VALUE = 0.5584 GRP2 (BLANK CRTL) MEAN = 168.5233 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 4 DIFFERENCE IN MEANS = 29.7133 -----TABLE t VALUE  $(0.05 \ (2), \ 4) = 2.776$  NO significant difference at alpha=0.05 TABLE t VALUE  $(0.01 \ (2), \ 4) = 4.604$  NO significant difference at alpha=0.01 Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Cell Density (mg/L) File: 2403c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 -0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5 INTERVAL 8.022 5.082 1.407 EXPECTED 1.407 5.082 OBSERVED 0 7 Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 4.3919 Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277 Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis. Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Cell Density (mg/L) File: 2403c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Shapiro Wilks test for normality \_\_\_\_\_ D = 25069.694W = 0.946Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 21) = 0.908Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 21) = 0.873Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis. Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Cell Density (mg/L) Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION File: 2403c Hartley test for homogeneity of variance \_\_\_\_\_\_ Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 4002041.26

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 1705.0 (alpha = 0.01)

Used for Table H ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# reps-1) = 2 Actual values ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# avg reps-1) = 2.00

------

Data FAIL homogeneity test. Try another transformation.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Cell Density (mg/L) File: 2403c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Calculated B statistic = 29.14

Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01) Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05)

Average df used in calculation ==> df (avg n - 1) = 2.00 Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 6

Data FAIL homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Try another transformation.

NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above).

Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Cell Density (mg/L) File: 2403c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

KRUSKAL-WALLIS ANOVA BY RANKS - TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	RANK SUM
1	Neg control	198.237	198.237	50.000
2	0.093	138.763	138.763	31.000
3	0.241	157.993	157.993	40.000
4	0.584	160.507	160.507	42.000
5	1.46	177.977	177.977	39.000
6	3.78	123.913	123.913	23.000
7	9.53	1.330	1.330	6.000

Calculated H Value = 11.152 Critical H Value Table = 12.590 Since Calc H < Crit H FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups are equal.

Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Cell Density (mg/L) File: 2403c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNS MULTIPLE COMPARISON - KRUSKAL-WALLIS - TABLE 2 OF 2

Page 15 of 23

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

		TRANSFORMED	ORIGINAL		ROUP 0 0 0 0	
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	I MEAN	MEAN	7 6 2	3 4 5 1	
7		1.330 123.913				
6	3.78	123.913	123.913	. \		
2	0.093	138.763	138.763	\	\	
3 4	0.241	157.993	157.993		\	
5	1.46	177.977	177.977		. \	
1	0.093 0.241 0.584 1.46 Neg control	198.237	198.237		\	
= sı dable	gnificant diffeq value (0.05,7	erence $(p=0.05)$ ') = 3.038	)	. = 1 SE =	no signif	icant differen
Sstima	tes of EC%					
 arame	ter Estimate  2.8 3.1 3.8 4.6	95% Bound	s S	td.Err.	Lower B	 ound
_		Lower	Upper	_	/Estima	te
C5	2.8	1.9	4.1	0.077	0.69	
CIO	3.1	2.3	4.3	0.068	0.72	
C50	3.0 4.6	2.9 3.7	5 8	0.033	0.77	
2403C 	: Fluopyram & F	). subcapitata 	96-hr Cel	l Densi	ty (mg/L) 	
	ed vs. Predicte		roup Means			
bserv	ed vs. Predicte	ed Treatment G Obs.	roup Means		Pred.	
bserv  D	ed vs. Predicte	ed Treatment G Obs. Mean	roup Means Pred. Mean -	Obs.	Pred. %Control	%Change
bserv  D	ed vs. Predicte	ed Treatment G Obs. Mean	roup Means Pred. Mean -	Obs.	Pred. %Control	%Change
bserv  D	ed vs. Predicte	ed Treatment G Obs. Mean	roup Means Pred. Mean -	Obs.	Pred. %Control	%Change
bserv  D	ed vs. Predicte	ed Treatment G Obs. Mean	roup Means Pred. Mean -	Obs.	Pred. %Control	%Change
bserv  D	ed vs. Predicte	ed Treatment G Obs. Mean	roup Means Pred. Mean -	Obs.	Pred. %Control	%Change
Dbserv D 0.0 0.0 0.	ed vs. Predicte ose #Reps00 3.00	ed Treatment G Obs. Mean	roup Means Pred. Mean -	Obs. Pred. 31.5 -27.9 -8.70 -6.19 11.3 .0151	Pred. %Control 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 74.3	%Change 0.00 1.71e-14 1.71e-14 3.89e-10 0.00705 25.7
D 000000000000000000000000000000000000	med vs. Predicter   mose  #Reps.  .00	Obs. Mean  198. 139. 158. 161. 178. 124. 1.33	roup Means Pred. Mean -  167. 167. 167. 167. 167. 167. 1240 1.33 0.  iomass (mg	Obs. Pred. 31.5 -27.9 -8.70 -6.19 11.3 .0151 00120	Pred. %Control 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 74.3	%Change 0.00 1.71e-14 1.71e-14 3.89e-10 0.00705 25.7
0 0 0.0 0.0 1 3 9	med vs. Predicter   mose  #Reps.  .00	Obs. Mean  198. 139. 158. 161. 178. 124. 1.33	roup Means Pred. Mean -  167. 167. 167. 167. 167. 167. 1240 1.33 0.  iomass (mg	Obs. Pred. 31.5 -27.9 -8.70 -6.19 11.3 .0151 00120	Pred. %Control 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 74.3	%Change 0.00 1.71e-14 1.71e-14 3.89e-10 0.00705 25.7
0 0 0.0 0.0 1 3 9	med vs. Predicter   mose  #Reps.  .00	Obs. Mean  198. 139. 158. 161. 178. 124. 1.33  Ditata 96-hr E	roup Means Pred. Mean -  167. 167. 167. 167. 167. 167. 1240 1.33 0.  riomass (mg	Obs. Pred.  31.5 -27.9 -8.70 -6.19 11.3 .0151 00120 /L)	Pred. %Control 100. 100. 100. 100. 74.3 0.797	%Change 0.00 1.71e-14 1.71e-14 3.89e-10 0.00705 25.7 99.2
Dbserv  0 0.0 0.0 1 3 9 Fluopy File:  GRP1 GRP2	ed vs. Predicte	Obs. Mean  198. 139. 158. 161. 178. 124. 1.33  Sitata 96-hr Eansform: NO Tent and Blank  MEAN = 5987. CAN = 5542.	roup Means Pred. Mean -  167. 167. 167. 167. 167. 1240 1.33 0.  Siomass (mg RANSFORM  Controls 1600 C 6800 D	Obs. Pred.  31.5 -27.9 -8.70 -6.19 11.3 .0151 00120  /L)  ALCULATI	Pred. %Control 100. 100. 100. 100. 74.3 0.797 Ho:GRP1	%Change 0.00 1.71e-14 1.71e-14 3.89e-10 0.00705 25.7 99.2
Observ O O O O O O O O O Fluopy File: GRP1 GRP2 DIFFE	ed vs. Predicte	Obs. Mean  198. 139. 158. 161. 178. 124. 1.33  Sitata 96-hr Exansform: NO Tent and Blank  MEAN = 5987. AN = 5542.  = 444.	roup Means Pred. Mean -  167. 167. 167. 167. 167. 1240 1.33 0.  iomass (mg RANSFORM  Controls 1600 C 6800 D 4800 76 NO s	Obs. Pred.  31.5 -27.9 -8.70 -6.19 11.3 .0151 00120  /L)  ALCULATI EGREES (	Pred. %Control  100. 100. 100. 100. 74.3 0.797  Ho:GRP1  ED t VALU	%Change  0.00 1.71e-14 1.71e-14 3.89e-10 0.00705 25.7 99.2  MEAN = GRP2 M E = 0.4539 M = 4

File: 2403b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

```
Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies
INTERVAL <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 -0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5
                               8.022 5.082 1.407
6 8 0
EXPECTED 1.407 5.082 OBSERVED 0 7
                                     6
                                                  8
______
Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 5.7230
Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277
Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.
Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Biomass (mg/L)
File: 2403b
            Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION
Shapiro Wilks test for normality
_____
D = 9286508.909
W = 0.953
Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 21) = 0.908
Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 21) = 0.873
Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis.
Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Biomass (mg/L)
File: 2403b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION
Hartley test for homogeneity of variance
Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 26377.77
Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 1705.0 (alpha = 0.01)
Used for Table H ==> R (\# groups) = 7, df (\# reps-1) = 2
Actual values ==> R (\# groups) = 7, df (\# avg reps-1) = 2.00
______
Data FAIL homogeneity test. Try another transformation.
NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal
     but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used
     as an approximate test (average df are used).
Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Biomass (mg/L)
File: 2403b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION
```

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

\_\_\_\_\_

Calculated B statistic = 17.18
Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01)
Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05)

Average df used in calculation ==> df (avg n - 1) = 2.00 Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 6

-----

Data FAIL homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Try another transformation.

NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above).

Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Biomass (mg/L) File: 2403b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

#### KRUSKAL-WALLIS ANOVA BY RANKS - TABLE 1 OF 2

TRANSFORMED MEAN CALCULATED IN RANK ORIGINAL UNITS SUM

1 Neg control 5987.160 5987.160 53.000
2 0.093 4710.760 4710.760 33.000
3 0.241 5301.360 5301.360 44.000
4 0.584 4854.480 4854.480 35.000
5 1.46 5465.640 5465.640 43.000
6 3.78 3498.400 3498.400 17.000
7 9.53 37.480 37.480 6.000

Calculated H Value = 13.939 Critical H Value Table = 12.590 Since Calc H > Crit H REJECT Ho:All groups are equal.

Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Biomass (mg/L) File: 2403b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNS MULTIPLE COMPARISON - KRUSKAL-WALLIS - TABLE 2 OF 2

7 9.53 37.480 37.480 \ 6 3.78 3498.400 3498.400 \ 2 0.093 4710.760 4710.760 \ 4 0.584 4854.480 4854.480 \ 3 0.241 5301.360 5301.360 \ 5 1.46 5465.640 5465.640 \ 1 Neg control 5987.160 5987.160 * \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ORIGINAL MEAN	7	6	2	4	3	5	1	
6 3.78 3498.400 3498.400 . \ 2 0.093 4710.760 4710.760 \ 4 0.584 4854.480 4854.480 \ 3 0.241 5301.360 5301.360 \ 5 1.46 5465.640 5465.640 \	7	9.53	37.480	37.480	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4 0.584 4854.480 4854.480 \ 3 0.241 5301.360 5301.360 \ 5 1.46 5465.640 5465.640 \	-	3.78	3498.400	3498.400		\						
3 0.241 5301.360 5301.360 \ 5 1.46 5465.640 5465.640 \	_				•	•	/	\				
5 1.46 5465.640 5465.640 \	=				•	•	•	\	\			
1 Neg control 5987.160 5987.160 * \	5	1.46								\		
	1	Neg control	5987.160	5987.160	*	•	•	•	•	•	/	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

Estimates	of EC%						
Parameter	Estimate	95% B	ounds	Std.Err.	. Lower B	ound	
EC5 EC10 EC25 EC50	2.6 2.9 3.5 4.3	2.0 2.3 3.0 3.8	Upper 3.2 3.5 4.1 4.9	0.049 0.043 0.034 0.027	0.79 0.81 0.85 0.88	te	
			.Err. =				
Goodness of	fit: p =	0.38	based on	DF=	4.0	14.	
2403B : Flu	opvram & P	. subcapi	tata 96-hr	Biomass (n	ng/L)		
Observed vs	s. Predicte	d Treatme	nt Group Me	eans			
Dose		Mean	Pred. Mean	-Pred.	%Control		
0.00 0.0930 0.241 0.584 1.46 3.78 9.53	3.00	3.50e+03	5.26e+03 5.26e+03 5.26e+03 5.26e+03 5.26e+03 3.50e+03 37.4	-0.978	66.5	33.5	
Fluopyram & File: 2403g	g Tr	ansform:	NO TRANSFOR	RM			
t-te	est of Solv	ent and B	lank Contro	ols	Ho:GRP1	MEAN = GRP2 MEA	AN
GRP2 (BLAN DIFFERENCE	IK CRTL) ME E IN MEANS	AN = =	529.0167 18.3400	DEGREES	OF FREEDO	E = 0.6151 M = 4	
						rence at alpha=(	
Fluopyram File: 2403c			-hr Growth O TRANSFORM		.)		
Chi-square						es 	
INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to	<-0.5	-0.5 to 0.	.5 >0.5	to 1.5 >1.5	

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 4.3919

Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

5.082

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

1.407

EXPECTED

OBSERVED

8.022

5.082

1.407

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

```
Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Growth Rate (mg/L)
File: 2403g
             Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION
Shapiro Wilks test for normality
D = 9721.272
W = 0.960
Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 21) = 0.908
Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 21) = 0.873
Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis.
Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Growth Rate (mg/L)
File: 2403g
             Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION
Hartley test for homogeneity of variance
______
Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 209.56
Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 1705.0 (alpha = 0.01)
Used for Table H ==> R (\# groups) = 7, df (\# reps-1) = 2 Actual values ==> R (\# groups) = 7, df (\# avg reps-1) = 2.00
Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.
NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal
     but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used
     as an approximate test (average df are used).
Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Growth Rate (mg/L)
              Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION
Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance
______
Calculated B statistic = 11.43
Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01)
Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05)
Average df used in calculation ==> df (avg n - 1) = 2.00
Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 6
______
Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.
NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is
     used to calculate the B statistic (see above).
```

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Growth Rate (mg/L) File: 2403g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

#### ANOVA TABLE

\_\_\_\_\_

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	6	634233.277	105705.546	152.231
Within (Error)	14	9721.272	694.377	
Total	20	643954.549		

Critical F value = 2.85 (0.05, 6, 14)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Growth Rate (mg/L) File: 2403g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - TA	BLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th><th></th></tr<>	eatment	
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1 2 3 4 5 6	Neg control 0.093 0.241 0.584 1.46 3.78 9.53	547.357 511.143 526.910 528.843 534.320 498.880 29.680	547.357 511.143 526.910 528.843 534.320 498.880 29.680	1.683 0.950 0.860 0.606 2.253 24.061	*

Dunnett table value = 2.53 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=14,6)

Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Growth Rate (mg/L) File: 2403g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST -	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	Neg control	3			
2	0.093	3	54.434	9.9	36.213
3	0.241	3	54.434	9.9	20.447
4	0.584	3	54.434	9.9	18.513
5	1.46	3	54.434	9.9	13.037
6	3.78	3	54.434	9.9	48.477
7	9.53	3	54.434	9.9	517.677

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 47372403

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isoto	nic	regression model	) TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5 6	Neg control 0.093 0.241 0.584 1.46 3.78 9.53	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	547.357 511.143 526.910 528.843 534.320 498.880 29.680	547.357 511.143 526.910 528.843 534.320 498.880 29.680	547.357 525.304 525.304 525.304 525.304 498.880 29.680

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
Neg control 0.093 0.241 0.584 1.46 3.78	547.357 525.304 525.304 525.304 525.304 498.880	1.025 1.025 1.025 1.025 2.253	*	1.76 1.85 1.88 1.89	k= 1, v=14 k= 2, v=14 k= 3, v=14 k= 4, v=14 k= 5, v=14
9.53	29.680	24.061	*	1.91	k = 6, $v = 14$

s = 26.351

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Estimates o	f EC%

Parameter	Estimate	95% Bou	nds	Std.Err.	Lower Bound	
		Lower	Upper		/Estimate	
EC5	3.7	3.1	4.3	0.034	0.85	
EC10	4.1	3.6	4.8	0.030	0.87	
EC25	4.9	4.4	5.5	0.024	0.89	
EC50	6.0	5.5	6.5	0.017	0.92	

Slope = 7.87 Std.Err. = 0.653

Goodness of fit: p =	0.60	based on DF=	4.0	14.	

2403G : Fluopyram & P. subcapitata 96-hr Growth Rate (mg/L)

24036 . Filopyiam & F. Subcapitata 90-III Glowth Rate (mg/l)

Observed vs. Predicted Treatment Group Means

Dose	#Reps.	Obs. Mean	Pred. Mean	Obs. -Pred.	Pred. %Control	%Change
0.00	3.00	547.	530.	17.6	100.	0.00
0.0930	3.00	511.	530.	-18.6	100.	2.15e-14
0.241	3.00	527.	530.	-2.80	100.	2.15e-14

Page 22 of 23

PMRA Submission Number {} EPA MRID Number 47372403							
0.584	3.00	529.	530.	-0.871	100.	8.58e-14	
1.46	3.00	534.	530.	4.61	100.	7.19e-05	
3.78	3.00	499.	499	0.000273	94.2	5.82	
9.53	3.00	29.7	29.7	7.84e-06	5.60	94.4	